

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,427	12/12/2003	Sundaram Ravikumar	RAV-002 CIP	3870
David P. Gordon, Esq. 65 Woods End Road Stamford, CT 06905			EXAMINER DEMILLE, DANTON D	
		•		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3771	
		·	•	·
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/734,427	RAVIKUMAR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Danton DeMille	3771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a period of the provision	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/21/04</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/734,427

Art Unit: 3771

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grove et al. '468 in view of Tumey et al. '440 and Mizrachy '374.

Grove teaches a base 20, a foot rest 111 hingedly coupled to the base, an inflatable lifting bladder 133 and a pressure source 1. The only difference between the claimed invention and Grove is the provision of including massaging elements with the device. The Grove device is to stimulate circulation and exercise the muscles of the legs and feet to maintain or increase blood and lymph circulation. The Tumey device includes an inflatable bladder under the foot to increase or stimulate blood flow in a limb extremity such as a foot. The device could be considered a massage sock because it is made of a flexible outer shell 22 for wrapping around the foot. It also includes a plurality of bladders located for heel massage, instep massage and sole massage. Mizrachy is merely cited to teach the conventional combination of flexing the foot along with massaging to increase blood circulation as shown in the embodiment of figures 3 and 3a. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Grove to include massaging elements such as the sock of Tumey to enhance the method of improving circulation as further suggested by Mizrachy.

Regarding claim 3, Tumey teaches using hook and loop fasteners to close the sock however, any conventional means such as a zipper for securing the two portions of the sock together would have been an obvious provision.

Regarding claims 5-8, Tumey teaches using two separate bladders however, there is no unobviousness to dividing the bladders into any number of bladders so as to isolate different areas of the foot for specific treatment. Tumey also teaches that each bladder has a different pressure for applying different amounts of pressure to different parts of the body. While Tumey teaches using a flow restrictor to accomplish this task, merely using the controller 1 to control the amount of pressure in each bladder would have been an obvious provision. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify Grove to use the controller to control the pressure within each bladder to automate the process to better control exactly what pressure is in each bladder.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danton DeMille whose telephone number is (571) 272-4974.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 to 6:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu, can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 3771

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

22 January 2007

Danton DeMille Primary Examiner Art Unit 3771